

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,065	12/19/2001	Adam Wayne Mehlberg	2001-067-TAP	9460
75	90 06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
Wayne P. Bailey			KIM, AHSHIK	
Storage Technology Corporation One StorageTek Drive Louisville, CO 80028-4309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/034,065	MEHLBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
	Ahshik Kim	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	V				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2/15/05 (Appeal Brief).				
·— · · _	<u> </u>				
· <u>-</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-34 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wind 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 and 15-34 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 15-34 is/are.	thdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) cobjected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	*				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached t	Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appet priority documents have been recurred (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Amarkanariia					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5B/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormál Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/034,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

Appeal Brief

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Appeal Brief filed on February 15, 2005.

Finality Withdrawn

2. In careful review of the Appellant's appeal brief, especially the summary of invention and subsequent argument section, the finality of the office action (mailed out on October 5, 2004) is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-10 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Re claims 1, 15, and 16, Examiner finds the following phrase difficult to comprehend. "an attenuation surface to the barcode scanner, wherein attenuation surface is located such that at least one end of scan path of the barcode scanner is controlled by the attenuation surface to form a controlled end of scan, and wherein the controlled end of scan is used to determine a location

5

10

15

of the attenuation surface with respect to a target associated with at least one storage cell within a storage library."

It is difficult to find whether attenuation surface is to determine a controlled end of scan or the controlled end of scan determines a location of attenuation surface. In a simplistic sense, if there are two variables which drive each other, actual operation may be in an infinite loop, never reaching the optimal scan path. It is the Examiner's opinion that the attenuation surface should determine controlled scan path. However, Examiner respectfully requests Applicant to clarify the claims or direct the Examiner in the specification if the subject matter actually functions as it is written in the claims.

Dependent claims 2-11, and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph because the independent claims on which these claims depend were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 23, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 20 Rockwell et al. (US 6,138,909, hereinafter "Rockwell").

Re claims 23, 26, and 29, Rockwell teaches a robot (see figure 1; col. 1, lines 26+) comprising a gripper 22, a barcode scanner 26 providing a scan path which scans a barcode 28 affixed to the data cartridge processor 14 (col. 2, lines 35+), wherein the positional parameters Application/Control Number: 10/034,065

Art Unit: 2876

10

15

25

are retrieved from the barcode (col. 3, lines 35+). Since the barcode is affixed on the surface of the cartridge processor 14, it can be said that the surface contributes in determining the scan path.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 24, 25, 27, 28, and 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rockwell et al. (US 6,138,909).

Re claims 24, 25, 27, 28, and 30-34, as shown in figure 3, the robot retrieves/deposits a data cartridge relying on positioning the device according to offset value 44, and 45 from the (col. 3, lines 35+). Location of the left edge 46 is stored along with the barcode information (col. 3, lines 49+). Although not explicitly suggested, it is obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to store location of right edge, or calculate center/mid point using locations of right and left edges.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Melhberg et al. (US 6,721,625); Hashimoto (US 6,671,574); Manes et al. (US 6,643,565); Bosley et al. (US 6,675,063); Manes et al. (US 6,438,448) disclose storage systems such as cartridge library comprising optical reader. Applicant is respectfully suggested to carefully review these references.
- II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Ahshik Kim* whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2876

May 31, 2005

30

25

10

15

20

MICHAEL GALEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800